

Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

District Five-Year Strategic Plan (2019-2024)

Vision	Improve lives through learning	
Mission	We guarantee all students will reach their potential.	
Values	Integrity, Responsibility, Cooperation, and Respect	
	Approved by the Hallsville B-IV Board of Education July 15, 2019	

Approved by the Hallsville R-IV Board of Education July 15, 2019. For specific goal targets and metrics, see the attached balanced scorecard.

Goal Area 1The Hallsville School District will prepare our students to beStudent Learningcompetitive and successful after they graduate from high school.

IMPROVEMENT OBJECTIVES

1.1 Maximize learning for every student

- 1.1.1 Students attend school and fully participate in their own educations
- 1.1.2 Teachers provide rigorous and meaningful curriculum and instruction

1.2 All students graduate ready for life, post-secondary learning, and careers

- 1.2.1 Student data demonstrates proficiency and growth in academic learning
- 1.2.2 Readiness data demonstrates students are prepared for the path they choose after high school

Example Strategies	Evaluation Measures
 Academic tiered interventions Effective directive intervention time (e.g., PRIDE, WIN, TRIBE) Improved use of data and data teams Criteria for inclusion and release Behavior tiered interventions Effective CARE/SAT teams Improved use of data (e.g., BCSMHC checklist, discipline data, etc.) Criteria for inclusion and release Increased communication with families regarding progress and interventions Evaluation of at-risk and intervention programs (e.g., alternative school, GATE) Curricular revision and refinement Include guidance on career options Instructional strategies Bell-to-bell instruction Personalized learning/goal setting 	 Disaggregated MAP and EOC results ACT, AP, DC participation/success data Vocational completer and IRC data Graduation and post-secondary placement data Disaggregated student attendance data Disaggregated activities participation data NEE cognitive engagement data Challenge and rigor perceptual data Relevance and choice perceptual data

IMPROVEMENT OBJECTIVES

- 2.1 Foster a positive environment focused on students and their learning
 - 2.1.1 Schools establish a climate of positive and productive relationships between students and adults
 - 2.1.2 Schools provide a safe environment where students are able to focus on academic learning

2.2 Attract, develop, and retain great teachers, leaders, and support staff

- 2.2.1 All instructional staff participate in quality, embedded professional development focused on student learning (curriculum, instruction, and assessment)
- 2.2.2 Schools establish a climate that supports professionalism and staff satisfaction

Example Strategies	Evaluation Measures						
 Aligned professional development Teacher and leader feedback/coaching Quality PD plans for all instructional staff Mentoring/induction program revisions Revised recruitment and hiring protocols Prioritized facilities improvements Conduct district safety audit 	 Student learning data (see Goal Area 1) Student, parent, and staff perceptual survey data NEE observation and evaluation data PDC needs assessment data Administrator class visit/anecdotal data Behavioral and disciplinary data 						

Goal Area 3The Hallsville School District supports students and their learningQuality Governancethrough responsive, responsible, and transparent operations.

IMPROVEMENT OBJECTIVES

3.1 The district will demonstrate fiscal responsibility while improving resources

- 3.1.1 The district maintains an unrestricted operating fund balance of 25% or greater
- 3.1.2 The district will update and maintain the facilities plan for use in decision-making and action to support students and their learning

3.2 The Board of Education and district leadership model a cycle of self-evaluation, reflection, and development

Example Strategies	Evaluation Measures
 Facilities committee and update prioritized long-range plan Annual Board self-evaluation Ongoing Board training focused on self- evaluation priorities 	 DESE Annual Performance Reports Budget allocations Fund balances Progress on facilities improvements

HALLSVILLE BALANCED SCORECARD – DISTRICT								Score Rankings (Shaded cell reflects our current position)										
		03/10/2019					Exceeding Goal			Goal	Progressing			Concerning				
	Goal Area		Performance Metric	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Overall Student Achievement	Ach	nievement metrics reflect th	ne overal	l quality	of our d	listrict's	academ	ic progr	rams (i.e	e., the q	uality of	curricul	um and	instruct	ion)		
		1	% p/a MAP ELA	61.9%	65.7%	67.6%	51.9%		95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
		2	% 3d gr reading on level					83%	96%	94%	92%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	<40%
		3	% p/a MAP Math	49.4%	56.5%	55.8%	52.1%		95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
		4	% p/a MAP Science	53.1%	40.0%	51.5%			95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
		5	% p/a MAP Soc St	65.8%	68.3%	55.6%	46.4%		95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
		6	ACT average composite	19.3	19.0	19.9	20.2		25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	<17
		Ach	nievement gap metrics asse	ess how	well we	are mee	ting the	needs o	f our Fr	ee and	Reduce	d Lunch	subgro	up popı	ulation			
ng		7	FRL % p/a MAP ELA	43.2%	47.2%	49.8%	34.2%		95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
Student Learning	Student	8	FRL % 3d gr on level			-	<u> </u>	72%	96%	94%	92%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	<40%
nt Le	Achievement	9	FRL % p/a MAP Math	28.7%	37.7%	37.8%	33.5%		95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
tude	Gaps	10	FRL % p/a MAP Sci	41.4%	20.6%	29.6%			95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
ۍ ۲		11	FRL % p/a MAP Soc St	52.4%	63%	26.1%	23.3%		95%	90%	85%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	<30%
		12	FRL ACT avg comp		17.8	20.5	18.0		25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	<17
		Col	lege and career readiness i	metrics	demonst	trate our	student	s' prepa	rednes	s for po	st-seco	ndary ed	lucation	and the	e workp	lace		1
		13	Assessments	73.3%	70.1%	69.4%	71.1%		90%	85%	80%	75%	<mark>70%</mark>	65%	60%	55%	50%	<50%
	College	14	FRL Assessments	63%	58.3%	56.6%	67.6%		90%	85%	80%	75%	70%	<mark>65%</mark>	60%	55%	50%	<50%
	and Career	15	Advanced courses	66.7%	62.8%	70%	66.8%		75%	70%	65%	60%	50%	45%	40%	35%	30%	<30%
	Readiness	16	FRL Advanced courses	43.5%	50%	47.4%	53.7%		75%	70%	65%	60%	<mark>50%</mark>	45%	40%	35%	30%	<30%
		17	Graduation rate	98.9%	94.1%	97.5%	98%		100%	98%	96%	94%	92%	90%	88%	86%	84%	<84%
		18	Post-sec placement	89.3%	90%	91.3%	98.8%		98%	96%	94%	92%	90%	85%	80%	75%	70%	<70%
		Stu	dent engagement and satis	sfaction	metrics	reflect s	tudent p	erceptio	ns on tl	ne impo		and quali	ity of ed	ucation	receive	1		1
		19	Attendance rate	95.2%			95.3%		99%	98%	97%	96%	95%	94%	93%	92%	91%	≤90%
	Student Engagement	20	MSIP attendance	92%	94.3%		93.6%		96%	95%	94%	92%	90%	88%	86%	84%	82%	<82%
		21	Free L MSIP attendance	83.5%	88%	86.4%	87.3%		96%	95%	94%	92%	90%	88%	86%	84%	82%	<82%
		22	% activities participation				60.5%											
	and Satisfaction	23	FRL % activities partic				40.4%											
		24	Relevance and choice	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
		25	Challenge and rigor	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
ar		26	Students feel safe	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
Culture		27	"Teachers care about me"	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	<mark>3.3</mark>	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
and	Parent Satisfaction		ent satisfaction metrics refl	-	-	1	1				-							
Climate and		28	Parents feel welcome	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
Clim	Salislaction	29	Student needs are met	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
		30 	Students are safe	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	<mark>3.3</mark>	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
	Teacher Effectiveness and Satisfaction		cher effectiveness and sat	istaction		1							1				4 -	
		31	Cog engage (NEE 1.2)		5.47	5.62	5.52	5.65	6.6	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.7	5.4	5.1	4.8	4.5	<4.5
		32	Pos relation (NEE 5.3b)		5.80	6.00	5.75	5.70	6.6	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.7	5.4	5.1	4.8	4.5	<4.5
		33	Teacher attendance	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	07	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1		07	0.5	
		34	Teachers feel recognized	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
		35	Teacher morale	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	<2.5
		36	1 year teacher turnover	15.9%		9.9%	7.8%	10.4%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%	11%	12%	>12%
		37	Recommend Hallsville?	o offeret	87.5%	of mene	89%	riot poli	98%	97%	96%	95%	93%	91%	89%	87%	85%	<85%
	Governance		vernance metrics assess th	1	1				-		:5		1					≤10%
	-						20 00/	330/	220/	270/	260/	250/	2204	100/	170/	150/	130/	
	Goal Area	38	Operating fund balance Performance Metric	15.9% FY15	FY16	24.9% FY17	29.9% FY18	33% FY19	28% 10	27% 9	26% 8	25% 7	22% 6	19% 5	17% 4	15% 3	13% 2	≤10% 1

Included in MSIP 5 state accreditation

Measure as of date in header-changes over time